arXiv:1101.5730v1 [math.DG] 29 Jan 2011

FOLIATIONS ON DOUBLE-TWISTED PRODUCTS

ANDRÉ GOMES

Abstract. In this paper we present a certain class of geodesic vector fields of the double-twisted product $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$. Some examples of totally geodesic foliations are given.

1. Introduction

The concept of double-twisted product was introduced by Ponge and Reckziegel (see [5]) in the context of the semi-Riemannian Geometry.

Definition 1. *Let* (M_1, g_1) *and* (M_2, g_2) *be (pseudo)Riemannian manifolds. Let* $\lambda_i : M_1 \times M_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *(*$i = 1, 2$*) be a positive and differentiable function. Consider the canonical projections* $\pi_i : M_1 \times M_2 \longrightarrow M_i$ *for* $i = 1, 2$*. Then the double-twisted product* $M_1 \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} M_2$ *of* (M_1, g_1) *and* (M_2, g_2) *is the differentiable manifold* $M_1 \times M_2$ *equipped with the (pseudo)Riemannian metric* g *defined by*

$$g(X, Y) = \lambda_1 g_1(d\pi_1(X), d\pi_1(Y)) + \lambda_2 g_2(d\pi_2(X), d\pi_2(Y))$$

for all vector fields X *and* Y *of* $M_1 \times M_2$.

This definition generalizes the R. L. Bishop's notion of an umbilic product (denoted by $M_1 \times_\lambda M_2$), which B. Y. Chen calls a twisted product and which is the *double* twisted product $M_1 \times_{(1,\lambda)} M_2$ (see [1] and [2]). If in this situation λ only depends on the points of M_1, then $M_1 \times_\lambda M_2$ is a warped product by definition.

If M and N are complete Riemannian manifolds, then the double-twisted product $M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$ is not, in general, a complete Riemannian manifold. But, for our purposes, the following Lemma is enough (the proof is similar of that of Lemma 40 in [4])

Lemma 1. *Suppose that* M *and* N *are complete Riemannian manifolds. Given two differentiable functions* $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : M \times N \longrightarrow [1, \infty)$*, then the double-twisted product* $M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$ *is a complete Riemannian manifold.*

Proof. Denote by $\pi : M \times N \longrightarrow M$ and $\sigma : M \times N \longrightarrow N$ the natural projections. Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ and d the arc lenght and the distance in $M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$, respectively. We use the metric completeness criterion from the Hopf-Rinow theorem. Note first that if v is tangent to $M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$ then, since $\lambda_1 \geq 1$ and $\lambda_2 > 0$, we have $\langle v, v\rangle \geq \langle d\pi(v), d\pi(v)\rangle$. Hence $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \geq \mathcal{L}(\pi \circ \alpha)$ for any curve segment α in $M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$. Analogously, $\mathcal{L}(\alpha) \geq \mathcal{L}(\sigma \circ \alpha)$. Then we have the inequalities

$$d(x, y) \geq d(\pi(x), \pi(y)) \quad \textit{and} \quad d(x, y) \geq d(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)), \qquad \forall x, y \in M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$$

Both inequalities implies that if $((p_k, q_k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the double-twisted product $M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$, then $(p_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences, respectively, in (M, g_1) and (N, g_2). As M and N are complete, $(p_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(q_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are convergent and then $((p_k, q_k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in $M \times_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} N$ $\square$

2. Preliminaries

Some computations are necessary in order to find the examples. We denote by ∂_x and ∂_y the canonical vector fields of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The new metric in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \langle \partial_x, \partial_x \rangle_{(x,y)} = [f(x,y)]^2 \\ \langle \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle_{(x,y)} = 0 \\ \langle \partial_y, \partial_y \rangle_{(x,y)} = [g(x,y)]^2 \end{cases}$$

where $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow (0, +\infty)$ are functions of class $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. The real plane equipped with this (Riemannian) metric is the *double twisted product of* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{R}$ and denoted by $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$. We find now its connection ∇ and curvature R.

By metric compatibility we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 2ff_x &= \partial_x \langle \partial_x, \partial_x \rangle = 2 \langle \partial_x, \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_x \rangle \\ 2ff_y &= \partial_y \langle \partial_x, \partial_x \rangle = 2 \langle \partial_x, \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_x \rangle \\ 2gg_x &= \partial_x \langle \partial_y, \partial_y \rangle = 2 \langle \partial_y, \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_y \rangle \\ 2gg_y &= \partial_y \langle \partial_y, \partial_y \rangle = 2 \langle \partial_y, \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_y \rangle \\ 0 &= \partial_x \langle \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle + \langle \partial_x, \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_y \rangle \\ 0 &= \partial_y \langle \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle + \langle \partial_x, \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_y \rangle \\ \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_y &= \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_x \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation we used $[\partial_x, \partial_y] = 0$.

Using the equations above, we find the Riemannian connection ∇ of $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_x &= \frac{1}{f^2} \langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_x, \partial_x \rangle \partial_x + \frac{1}{g^2} \langle \nabla_{\partial_x} \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle \partial_y \\ &= \frac{f_x}{f} \partial_x - \frac{ff_y}{g^2} \partial_y \\ \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_y &= \frac{1}{f^2} \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_y, \partial_x \rangle \partial_x + \frac{1}{g^2} \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_y, \partial_y \rangle \partial_y \\ &= -\frac{g_x g}{f^2} \partial_x + \frac{g_y}{g} \partial_y \\ \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_x &= \frac{1}{f^2} \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_x, \partial_x \rangle \partial_x + \frac{1}{g^2} \langle \nabla_{\partial_y} \partial_x, \partial_y \rangle \partial_y \\ &= \frac{f_y}{f} \partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g} \partial_y \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}(\partial_x,\partial_y)\partial_x &= \nabla_{\partial_y}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_x - \nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_x - \nabla_{[\partial_x,\partial_y]}\partial_x \\
&= \nabla_{\partial_y}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_x - \nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_x \\
&= \nabla_{\partial_y}(\frac{f_x}{f}\partial_x - \frac{ff_y}{g^2}\partial_y) - \nabla_{\partial_x}(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) \\
&= \frac{f_x}{f}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_x + (\frac{f_x}{f})_y\partial_x - \frac{ff_y}{g^2}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_y - (\frac{ff_y}{g^2})_y\partial_y \\
&\quad -(\frac{f_y}{f}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_x + (\frac{f_y}{f})_x\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_y + (\frac{g_x}{g})_x\partial_y) \\
&= \frac{f_x}{f}(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) + (\frac{f_x}{f})_y\partial_x - \frac{ff_y}{g^2}(-\frac{g_xg}{f^2}\partial_x + \frac{g_y}{g}\partial_y) - (\frac{ff_y}{g^2})_y\partial_y \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{f_y}{f}(\frac{f_x}{f}\partial_x - \frac{ff_y}{g^2}\partial_y) + (\frac{f_y}{f})_x\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) + (\frac{g_x}{g})_x\partial_y\right] \\
&= \left[\frac{f_y^2}{g^2} - \frac{g_x^2}{g^2} + \frac{f_xg_x}{fg} - \frac{ff_yg_y}{g^3} - (\frac{ff_y}{g^2})_y - (\frac{g_x}{g})_x\right]\partial_y
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\langle \mathrm{R}(\partial_x,\partial_y)\partial_x,\partial_y\rangle = g^2\left[\frac{f_y^2}{g^2} - \frac{g_x^2}{g^2} + \frac{f_xg_x}{fg} - \frac{ff_yg_y}{g^3} - (\frac{ff_y}{g^2})_y - (\frac{g_x}{g})_x\right]$$

Analogously, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}(\partial_x,\partial_y)\partial_y &= \nabla_{\partial_y}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_y - \nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_y - \nabla_{[\partial_x,\partial_y]}\partial_y \\
&= \nabla_{\partial_y}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_y - \nabla_{\partial_x}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_y \\
&= \nabla_{\partial_y}(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) - \nabla_{\partial_x}(-\frac{g_xg}{f^2}\partial_x + \frac{g_y}{g}\partial_y) \\
&= \frac{f_y}{f}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_x + (\frac{f_y}{f})_y\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_y + (\frac{g_x}{g})_y\partial_y \\
&\quad +\frac{gg_x}{f^2}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_x + (\frac{gg_x}{f^2})_x\partial_x - \frac{g_y}{g}\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_y - (\frac{g_y}{g})_x\partial_y \\
&= \frac{f_y}{f}(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) + (\frac{f_y}{f})_y\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}(-\frac{g_xg}{f^2}\partial_x + \frac{g_y}{g}\partial_y) + (\frac{g_x}{g})_y\partial_y \\
&\quad + \left[\frac{gg_x}{f^2}(\frac{f_x}{f}\partial_x - \frac{ff_y}{g^2}\partial_y) + (\frac{gg_x}{f^2})_x\partial_x - \frac{g_y}{g}(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) - (\frac{g_y}{g})_x\partial_y\right] \\
&= \left[\frac{f_y^2}{f^2} - \frac{g_x^2}{f^2} + \frac{gf_xg_x}{f^3} - \frac{g_yf_y}{fg} + (\frac{f_y}{f})_y + (\frac{gg_x}{f^2})_x\right]\partial_x
\end{aligned}$$

3. Geodesic vector fields

A codimension-one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a Riemannian manifold M is *totally geodesic* if their leaves are totally geodesic submanifolds of M. If an unit vector field

$$U_{(x,y)} = a(x,y)\partial_x + b(x,y)\partial_y$$

defined on $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$ is geodesic, then its integral curves determines a totally geodesic foliation on $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$. The vector field U is geodesic $\Leftrightarrow \nabla_U U = 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_U U = &\ a\nabla_{\partial_x} U + b\nabla_{\partial_y} U \\
= &\ a\left(\nabla_{\partial_x}(a\partial_x + b\partial_y)\right) + b\left(\nabla_{\partial_y}(a\partial_x + b\partial_y)\right) \\
= &\ a\left(a\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_x + a_x\partial_x + b\nabla_{\partial_x}\partial_y + b_x\partial_y\right) + b\left(a\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_x + a_y\partial_x + b\nabla_{\partial_y}\partial_y + b_y\partial_y\right) \\
= &\ a\left(a(\frac{f_x}{f}\partial_x - \frac{ff_y}{g^2}\partial_y) + a_x\partial_x + b(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) + b_x\partial_y\right) \\
&+ b\left(a(\frac{f_y}{f}\partial_x + \frac{g_x}{g}\partial_y) + a_y\partial_x + b(-\frac{gg_x}{f^2}\partial_x + \frac{g_y}{g}\partial_y) + b_y\partial_y\right) \\
= &\ \left(a^2\frac{f_x}{f} - b^2\frac{g_x g}{f^2} + 2ab\frac{f_y}{f} + aa_x + ba_y\right)\partial_x \\
&+ \left(-a^2\frac{ff_y}{g^2} + b^2\frac{g_y}{g} + 2ab\frac{g_x}{g} + ab_x + bb_y\right)\partial_y
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the condition $\nabla_U U = 0$ is equivalent to the following system

$$\begin{cases} a^2\frac{f_x}{f} - b^2\frac{g_x g}{f^2} + 2ab\frac{f_y}{f} + aa_x + ba_y = 0 \\ \\ -a^2\frac{ff_y}{g^2} + b^2\frac{g_y}{g} + 2ab\frac{g_x}{g} + ab_x + bb_y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. *Consider the following unit vector field defined on* $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$

$$U_{(x,y)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f(x,y)}\partial_x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}g(x,y)}\partial_y$$

Then the vector field U *above is an unit geodesic vector field on* $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$ *iff*

$$f_y = g_x$$

Proof. In this case we have $a = 1/\sqrt{2}f$ and $b = 1/\sqrt{2}g$. Substituting this in the above system we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2f^2}\cdot\frac{f_x}{f} - \frac{1}{2g^2}\cdot\frac{g_x g}{f^2} + \frac{1}{fg}\cdot\frac{f_y}{f} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}g}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f}) = 0 \\ \\ -\frac{1}{2f^2}\cdot\frac{ff_y}{g^2} + \frac{1}{2g^2}\cdot\frac{g_y}{g} + \frac{1}{fg}\cdot\frac{g_x}{g} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}g}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}g}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}g}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

After simplifications, both equations are equivalent to the equation $f_y = g_x$ □

Example. If $f(x,y) := \mathrm{e}^{x+y} + \sin^2(x) + 1$ and $g(x,y) := \mathrm{e}^{x+y} + 1$, then $f_y = g_x$ and the following unit vector field of $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$

$$U_{(x,y)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\mathrm{e}^{x+y} + \sin^2(x) + 1)}\partial_x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\mathrm{e}^{x+y} + 1)}\partial_y$$

is a geodesic vector field.

4. Foliations with geodesic normal vector field

We recall some results about totally geodesic foliations. Let M^{n+1} be an orientable Riemannian manifold and $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension-one $\mathcal{C}^\infty$−foliation on M. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is *transversely orientable*, i.e., we may choose a differentiable unit vector field $N \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ normal to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$.

Given a point $p \in M$ we may always choose an orthonormal frame field

$$\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}\}$$

defined in a neighborhood of p and such that the vectors $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are tangent to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ and $e_{n+1} = N$. The *Ricci curvature in the direction* $e_{n+1} = N$ is

$$Ric(N) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathrm{R}(e_i, N)e_i, N\rangle$$

The *divergence* of a vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is locally defined as

$$div(V) := \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \langle \nabla_{e_k} V, e_k\rangle$$

In [3] the Author proved the following

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension-one foliation of a complete Riemannian manifold M^n and let N be an unit vector field normal to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F} \textit{ is totally geodesic} \Longleftrightarrow Ric(N) - \frac{1}{n} div(\nabla_N N) = 0$$

As a consequence we obtain

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension-one foliation of a complete Riemannian manifold M and let N be a geodesic unit vector field normal to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a totally geodesic foliation if, and only if, $Ric(N) = 0$.*

The following theorem gives us some examples of such foliations

Theorem 3. *Let $f = f(x) \geq 1$ and $g = g(y) \geq 1$ be differentiable functions. Consider the following unit vector field defined on $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$*

$$N_{(x,y)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} f(x)} \partial_x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} g(y)} \partial_y$$

Then N is a normal vector field of a totally geodesic foliation of $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We have $f_y = g_x = 0$ and then N is a geodesic vector field. Moreover, for this choice of functions, the double-twisted product $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$ is flat (see the expressions of curvature R in the §2) and complete (by Lemma 1). Therefore, $Ric(N) = 0$ and (by Corollary 1) N is a normal vector field of a totally geodesic foliation of $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$ □

Example. If $f(x) := \mathrm{e}^x + 1$ and $g(y) := \sin^2(y) + 1$, the following vector field

$$N_{(x,y)} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\mathrm{e}^x + 1)} \partial_x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(\sin^2(y) + 1)} \partial_y$$

is a geodesic vector field and normal to the leaves of a totally geodesic foliation of $\mathbb{R} \times_{(f,g)} \mathbb{R}$.

References

- [1] Bishop, R. L.: *Clairaut submersions*, Differential Geometry, in Honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, pp. 21-31 (1972)
- [2] Chen, B. Y.: *Geometry of Submanifolds and its Applications*, Science University of Tokyo, (1981)
- [3] Gomes, A.O.: *The mean curvature of a transversely orientable foliation*, Result.Math. **46**, 31-36 (2004)
- [4] O'Neill, B.: *Semi-Riemannian Geometry - with applications to Relativity*, Academic Press (1983)
- [5] Ponge, R. and Reckziegel, H.: *Twisted products in pseudo-Riemannian geometry*, Geom. Dedicata, **48**, 15-25, (1993)

Department of Mathematics
Institute of Mathematics and Statistics
University of São Paulo
São Paulo - 05508-090 - SP
Brazil

E-mail address: `gomes@ime.usp.br`